



At Berth Vessel (Shore Power) Regulation

**Shore Power Workgroup
Meeting**

June 1, 2007

California Environmental Protection Agency



Air Resources Board

1

Questions Via E-mail

sierrarm@calepa.ca.gov

2

Topics

- ♦ **Draft regulation language**
- ♦ Preliminary cost effectiveness
- ♦ Next steps

3

Draft Regulatory Language

- ♦ Partial regulation
 - Major provisions provided for shore power categories
 - Other ship categories and harbor craft will be included in next draft

4

Draft Regulatory Language (Continued)

- ♦ Three options to comply
 - Limited auxiliary engine operation
 - Fleet emissions
 - Clean engines

5

Draft Regulatory Language (Continued)

- ♦ Limited auxiliary engine operation
 - Affects container ships, passenger ships, and refrigerated cargo (reefer) ships
 - 2015 and 2020 requirements based on ship visits and size (container ships only)
 - Ships affected based on prior year ship activity

6

Draft Regulatory Language (Continued)

- ♦ Fleet emissions
 - Same amount of reductions must be achieved if applicable ships satisfy the requirements for limited auxiliary engine operation
- ♦ Cleaner engines
 - Requires engines be 80% cleaner
 - Requirement phased-in

7

Draft Regulatory Language (Continued)

- ♦ Reporting and recordkeeping
 - Responsible Official certifying compliance
 - Report noncompliance

8

Topics

- ◆ Draft regulation language
- ◆ **Preliminary cost effectiveness**
- ◆ Next steps

9

Preliminary Cost Effectiveness

- ◆ Feasibility report comments
 - Cost-effectiveness criteria
 - Use of generic infrastructure costs
 - Port and utility infrastructure
 - Electricity costs
 - Ship lifecycle
 - Growth

10

Preliminary Cost Effectiveness (Continued)

- ◆ Cost-effectiveness criteria
 - NOx
 - SJVAPCD Rule 4307 (boilers)
 - Retrofit: \$5,000 - 49,000 per ton
 - New: \$7,000 - 68,000 per ton
 - Diesel PM
 - ARB rule for public and utility fleets
 - \$320,000 per ton

11

Preliminary Cost Effectiveness (Continued)

- ◆ Shore-side infrastructure costs
 - \$1.5 - 5.0 million per berth
 - Not include utility costs
- ◆ Ship-side infrastructure costs
 - \$500,000 - \$2,000,000 per ship
 - Most estimates under \$1,000,000 per ship

12

Preliminary Cost Effectiveness (Continued)

♦ Electrical costs

- Demand is based upon highest power needs, which results in higher electrical costs
- Containership is 7 MW
- Passenger ship is 15 MW
- Reefer ship is 4.5 MW

13

Preliminary Cost Effectiveness (Continued)

♦ Ship lifecycle

- Ships are moveable assets
- Evaluating data for four year period (2003-2006)
- For cost-effectiveness analysis, additional ships retrofitted

14

Preliminary Cost Effectiveness (Continued)

♦ Growth to 2020

- Container based upon Mercator Report, 2005
 - Ships 50% larger and overall visits increase by 170% compared to 2004
- Passenger based upon Dr. Corbett work (University of Delaware)
 - Passenger increase 500% compared to 2004

15

Preliminary Cost Effectiveness (Continued)

♦ Other issues

- Split costs between NOx and diesel PM
- Revise capital recovery time period
- Phasing-in cost over time
- Incremental cost effectiveness

♦ Examples

- Container ship
- Passenger ship
- Reefer ship

16

Container Ship

- ♦ Cost effectiveness, 2006
 - NOx: \$43,000 per ton
 - PM: \$1,500,000 per ton
- ♦ Cost effectiveness with larger ships, 2006
 - NOx: \$32,000 per ton
 - PM: \$1,200,000 per ton
- ♦ Cost effectiveness with larger ships and more visits, 2020
 - NOx: \$29,000 per ton
 - PM: \$1,100,000 per ton

17

Container Ship (Continued)

- ♦ Cost effectiveness with larger ships, more visits, and retrofit 30 percent more ships, 2020
 - NOx: \$37,000 per ton
 - PM: \$1,300,000 per ton

18

Passenger Ship

- ♦ Cost effectiveness, 2006
 - NOx: \$39,000 per ton
 - PM: \$1,500,000 per ton
- ♦ Cost effectiveness with larger ships, 2006
 - NOx: \$ 36,000 per ton
 - PM: \$ 1,400,000 per ton
- ♦ Cost effectiveness with larger ships and more visits, 2020
 - NOx: \$8,500 per ton
 - PM: \$320,000 per ton

19

Reefer Ship

- ♦ Cost effectiveness, 2006
 - NOx: \$35,000 per ton
 - PM: \$1,300,000 per ton
- ♦ Cost effectiveness with larger ships, 2006
 - NOx: \$28,000 per ton
 - PM: \$1,000,000 per ton
- ♦ Cost effectiveness with larger ships and more visits, 2020
 - NOx: \$26,000 - 30,000 per ton
 - PM: \$97,000 - 1,100,000 per ton

20

Topics

- ♦ Draft regulation language
- ♦ Preliminary cost effectiveness
- ♦ **Next steps**

21

Workgroup Schedule

- ♦ Next meeting is July 12 in
Sacramento, 1 pm

22

Contacts

- ◆ **Mike Waugh, Manager**
Project Assistance Section

e-mail: mwaugh@arb.ca.gov

phone: 916.445.6018

- ◆ **Grant Chin (Staff)**

e-mail: gchin@arb.ca.gov

phone: 916.327.5602

- ◆ **Webpage:**

Shore Power:

www.arb.ca.gov/ports/shorepower/shorepower.htm

